COSMETIC

A Systematic Review of Nonsurgical Vulvovaginal Restoration Devices: An Evidence-Based Examination of Safety and Efficacy

B. Aviva Preminger, M.D., M.P.H. Joey S. Kurtzman, B.A. Erez Dayan, M.D. New York, N.Y.; and Reno, Nev.

Background: The efficacy and safety of vulvovaginal restoration devices were called into question in a U.S. Food and Drug Administration statement on July 30, 2018, claiming that women are being harmed by laser and other energy-based devices. The goal of this systematic literature review was to assess existing data, determine gaps in evidence, and propose opportunities for continued investigation pertaining to laser and energy-based vaginal restoration techniques.

Methods: A review of literature using PubMed, Cochrane Library databases, Embase, MEDLINE, and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature was conducted on January 9, 2019, and articles up to this point were considered. For inclusion, studies had to be available or translated in English and relate to clinical medicine, direct patient care, and nonsurgical energybased vulvovaginal procedures.

Results: The authors found five level I studies, 19 level II studies, four level III studies, and 46 level IV studies that used 15 different devices. Various degrees of improvement of symptoms were reported in all studies. Adverse events/side effects were noted in two of the 13 radiofrequency device studies, 15 of the 23 erbium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet device studies, and 17 of the 37 carbon dioxide device studies. The majority of adverse events were considered mild.

Conclusions: The majority of studies resulted in mild to no adverse side effects. However, there is a large gap in level I evidence. As a result, the authors emphasize the necessity of supplemental data surrounding this subject and suggest that additional randomized sham-controlled studies be conducted to further investigate vulvovaginal restoration devices in an effort to address women's health issues. (*Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 146: 552e, 2020.)

onsurgical and minimally invasive vulvovaginal restoration has increased in popularity over the past 10 years.¹ Energy-based devices including radiofrequency and laser [carbon dioxide and erbium:yttrium-aluminumgarnet (YAG)] have been used successfully in aesthetic and restorative procedures.²⁻⁹ These procedures are meant to improve and/or restore the function and structure of the vulvovaginal tissue. Studies have shown that they do so by stimulating neovascularization, improving natural lubrication, and accelerating collagen synthesis and reorganization.¹⁰ There have been a number of

From the Department of Surgery, Columbia University; and Avance Plastic Surgery Institute. Received for publication August 19, 2019; accepted March

31, 2020. Copyright © 2020 by the American Society of Plastic Surgeons DOI: 10.1097/PRS.00000000007236 **Disclosure:** Dr. Preminger is a consultant for InMode. Ms. Kurtzman has no financial interests to disclose. Dr. Dayan is a consultant for InMode, receives book royalties from Thieme Publishers, is the developer and owner of coreaesthetics.com, and is a co-investigator for MTF, Allergan, and Galderma.

Related digital media are available in the full-text version of the article on www.PRSJournal.com.

By reading this article, you are entitled to claim one (1) hour of Category 2 Patient Safety Credit. ASPS members can claim this credit by logging in to PlasticSurgery.org Dashboard, clicking "Submit CME," and completing the form.

552e

www.PRSJournal.com

laser and radiofrequency devices developed in recent years, and each device differs in technology and method for penetrating and stimulating vulvovaginal tissue.^{3,4,9–13}

The efficacy and safety of vulvovaginal restoration devices were called into question in a U.S. Food and Drug Administration statement on July 30, 2018. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration commissioner expressed concern that women are being harmed by laser and other energy-based devices marketed to remedy conditions such as menopause, urinary incontinence, and sexual function. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration stated, "we have not cleared or approved for marketing any energy-based devices to treat these symptoms or conditions (vaginal laxity, dryness, vaginal atrophy, itching, pain during urination, pain during intercourse, and or decreased sexual sensation), or any symptoms related to menopause, urinary incontinence, or sexual function."14,15 Adverse side effects such as vaginal burns, scarring, pain during sexual intercourse, and recurring or chronic pain were mentioned in the report.

The North American Menopause Society issued a response citing the lack of research in this area, advocating for additional randomized trials to further investigate these devices.¹⁶ The American College of Gynecologists recently reaffirmed their position statement on the matter, citing "preliminary observational data has shown some potential benefits with the use of this technology in treating patients with vulvovaginal atrophy, however, these observational trials do not evaluate the use of concomitant treatments, and they lack long term follow-up. Although early data indicate potential utility, additional data is needed to further assess the efficacy and safety of this procedure."¹⁷ The goal of this systematic literature review is to address the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's claims by assessing existing data, determining gaps in evidence, and proposing opportunities for continued investigation pertaining to laser and energy-based vulvovaginal restoration techniques.

Conditions

Conditions investigated included genitourinary syndrome of menopause, vaginal laxity, vulvovaginal laxity, vulvovaginal atrophy, vaginal dryness, atrophic vaginitis, orgasmic dysfunction, stress urinary incontinence, pelvic organ prolapse, overactive bladder, vaginal relaxation syndrome, mixed urinary incontinence, and urinary incontinence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A review of the literature using PubMed, Cochrane Library databases, Embase, MEDLINE, and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature was conducted on January 9, 2019, and articles up to this point were considered. Duplicates were removed in Mendeley. Medical search terms in a variety of combinations were vaginal rejuvenation, nonsurgical vaginal rejuvenation, vaginal laxity, vulvovaginal atrophy, genitourinary syndrome of menopause, erbium laser, vaginal laser, fractional carbon dioxide laser, microablative fractional carbon dioxide laser, radiofrequency, vaginal dryness, atrophic vaginitis, decreased sensation during coitus, dissatisfaction with appearance of vagina, vulvovaginal laxity, labia majora laxity, orgasmic dysfunction, feminine rejuvenation, energy-based devices, safety, efficacy, patient satisfaction, monopolar, bipolar, and quadripolar. Two authors independently screened titles and abstracts in Mendeley. For inclusion, studies had to be available or translated in English, relate to clinical medicine, direct patient care, and nonsurgical energy-based vulvovaginal procedures. Exclusion criteria included conference abstracts and posters, letters, facial, dental, periodontal, cardiac, animal tissue, skin infections, neoplasia, surgical, hormonal, and lowlevel laser therapy studies. Studies meeting these criteria underwent full-text review. Disagreements were resolved through discussion.

RESULTS

The initial search criteria produced 3326 results and a title/abstract review was performed. After review and subsequent resolution of conflicts, 91 articles were selected for inclusion. Articles from 1968 to January 9, 2019, were considered.

Nonsurgical Energy-Based Treatment Options

Energy-based devices intended for vulvovaginal restoration summarized in Table 1^{6,20–35} and included in this review are radiofrequency, carbon dioxide fractional laser, and erbium:YAG devices. Both laser and radiofrequency treatments induce neocollagenesis and neovascularization to restore thickness, elasticity, and moisture of the vaginal mucosa. The mechanism is believed to be secondary to activation of heat shock proteins and triggering of the inflammatory/proliferative cascade.¹⁸ From our literature review, we identified six radiofrequency devices, five erbium:YAG devices, and four carbon dioxide devices that were used in the studies included in this article.

Device Name	Manufacturer	Туре
ThermiVa ²⁰	ThermiAesthetics, Southlake, Texas	Temperature-controlled radiofrequency
Viveve Vaginal Laxity RF Therapy System ²¹	Viveve, Inc., Sunnyvale, Calif.	Monopolar radiofrequency
Protégé Intima ²²	BTL Industries, Inc., Boston, Mass.	Focused monopolar radiofrequency
Exilis Ultra Femme 360 ²³	BTL Industries	Monopolar radiofrequency
Votiva ^{24,25}	InMode MD Ltd., Lake Forest, Calif	Bipolar radiofrequency
Wavetronic 6000HF-FRAXX, Megapulse HF FRAXX system ²⁶	Loktal Medical Electronics, São Paulo, Brazil	Microablative fractional radiofrequency
FotonaSmooth XS ²⁷	Fotona, Dallas, Texas	2940-nm nonablative erbium:YAG
FotonaSmooth XS Dynamis ²⁸	Fotona	2940-nm nonablative erbium:YAG
FotonaSmooth SP Spectro ²⁹	Fotona	2940-nm nonablative erbium:YAG
Action II ³⁰	Lutronic, Inc., Goyang, Republic of Korea	2940-nm erbium:YAG
MCL 31 Dermablate ³¹	Asclepion Laser Technologies, Jena, Germany	2940-nm erbium:YAG
FemiLift ³²	Alma Lasers, Buffalo Grove, Ill.	Fractional carbon dioxide laser
SmartXide ² /SmartXide ² V ² LR, MonaLisa Touch ³³	DEKA, Florence, Italy	Fractional carbon dioxide laser
CO2RE Intima ³⁴	Syneron Candela, Wayland, Mass.	Fractional carbon dioxide laser
AcuPulse System, FemTouch Handpiece ³⁵	Lumenis, Yokneam Industrial Park, Israel	Fractional carbon dioxide laser

Table 1. Energy-Based Devices

Radiofrequency Devices

Radiofrequency devices use electromagnetic waves that generate heat in the vaginal tissue to stimulate collagen contraction, neocollagenesis, angiogenesis, and growth factor infiltration to restore the elasticity and moisture of the vaginal mucosa.¹¹ Temperatures of 40° to 45°C induce collagen production by fibroblasts, which leads to soft-tissue tightening. Temperatures exceeding 47°C have been associated with burns and pain following treatment, although vaginal tissue can tolerate temperatures up to 50°C without sustaining thermal burns.¹⁰ Radiofrequency vulvovaginal restoration treatments typically last 15 to 30 minutes and require no anesthesia. Typically, downtime is minimal, and it is recommended that patients resume normal activity following treatment.¹⁰

Clinical Studies Using Radiofrequency

(ThermiAesthetics, Southlake, ThermiVa Texas), Viveve (Viveve, Inc., Sunnyvale, Calif.), Exilis Ultra Femme 360 (BTL Industries, Inc., Boston, Mass.), Exilis Protégé Intima (BTL Industries), Votiva (InMode MD Ltd., Lake Forest, Calif.), and Wavetronic 6000HF-FRAXX, Megapulse HF FRAXX system (Loktal Medical Electronics, São Paulo, Brazil) were used in the clinical trials listed in Table 2.¹⁻¹¹² Treatment results were evaluated using physician- and/or self-reported questionnaires. (See Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which documents the efficacy of radiofrequency device treatments in detail. List of scoring and self-reported questionnaires for radiofrequency studies are included, http://links. *lww.com/PRS/E211*.) There are a total of two level I studies, one level II study, and 10 level IV studies

included in Table 2. Varying degrees of improvement of symptoms were reported in all studies. Limited adverse events and/or side effects were reported in two of the 13 studies and included vaginal discharge, pain, discomfort, and erythema and edema that resolved within a few hours.²²

Erbium:YAG Devices

The erbium:YAG laser initiates tissue resurfacing by emitting light at a wavelength of 2940 nm. The erbium:YAG laser's penetration depth is approximately 1 to $3 \mu m$ of tissue per J/cm² allowing for precise skin ablation with minimal thermal damage to surrounding tissue.¹⁰ FotonaSmooth possesses a proprietary "smooth-mode" that uses a fast sequence of low-fluence laser pulses inside an overall superlong pulse of several hundred milliseconds. This leads to nonablative heating at a depth of 100 μ m that in turn tightens the vaginal canal by neocollagenesis. A secondary erbium:YAG laser, the Action II, has a dual mode that combines multiple micropulses with long-pulse modes automatically, which enables a deeper secondary thermal effect and the controlled heating of the target mucous membrane inside the vaginal canal.^{10,11}

Clinical Studies Using Erbium:YAG

FotonaSmooth (i.e., XS, SP Spectro, Dynamis, and Incontilase), Action II, and MCL 31 Dermablate were used in the clinical trials listed in Table 3. In each study, results were cited through self- and/ or physician-reported questionnaires and scales. (See Table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, which documents the efficacy of erbium:YAG device treatments in detail. List of scoring and self-reported questionnaires for erbium:YAG studies included,

Copyright © 2020 American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Device Name	Type of Study	Adverse Side Effects
ThermiVa	Single-center, prospective; $n = 23^{38}$; level IV	No
ThermiVa	Single-center, prospective; $n = 25^{36}$; level IV	No
ThermiVa	Prospective, randomized, controlled trial; $n = 20^{39}$; level I	No
ThermiVa	Prospective, nonrandomized; $n = 10^{40}$; level IV	No
Vivieve	Pilot; $n = 24^{41}$; level IV	No
Vivieve	Prospective, longitudinal, single-arm; $n = 30^{37}$; level IV	No
Vivieve	Randomized, placebo, sham-controlled, blinded, multicenter; $n = 186^{42}$; level I	Treatment-emergent adverse events were reported by 11.1% and 12.3% of subjects in the active and sham arms, respectively; adverse events included vaginal discharge, pain, and discomfort
Exilis Ultra Femme 360	Nonrandomized, prospective, multicenter; $n = 27^{27}$: level IV	No
Exilis Protégé Intima	Prospective cohort; $n = 17^{21}$; level IV	Mild discomfort, erythema, and edema that resolved in a few hours were noted
Votiva	Pilot; $n = 30^{25}$; level II	No
Dynamic quadripolar radiofrequency (device name not disclosed)	Spontaneous exploratory; $n = 25$ and 13^{43} ; level IV	No
Dynamic quadripolar radiofrequency (device name not disclosed)	12-mo extension of previous spontaneous exploratory study; $n = 25$ and 32^{44} ; level IV	No
Wavetronic 6000HF-FRAXX, Megapulse HF FRAXX system	Pilot; $n = 14^{26}$; level IV	No

Table 2. Radiofrequency Clinical Studies

http://links.lww.com/PRS/E212.) There are a total of two level I studies, eight level II studies, one level III study, and 12 level IV studies included in Table 3. Varying degrees of improvement of symptoms were reported in all studies. Limited adverse events and/or side effects were reported in 15 of the 23 studies and included discomfort during treatment, a burning sensation, slight vulvar edema, warmth or prickling sensation during treatment, vaginal discharge, mild pain during treatment, dysuria, minimal hematuria, vaginal dryness, vaginal itching, vulva discoloration, pelvic pain, vaginal bleeding, mild vaginal ecchymosis, lower abdominal discomfort, and vaginal spotting. Fewer than 2 to 3 percent of patients discontinued because of side effects in three studies.^{22,33,38} Urinary tract infections occurred in two patients in separate studies; both were treated with antibiotics.^{45,46} There is a large multicenter study currently being conducted; however, it does not include a control group.⁴⁷

Carbon Dioxide Devices

The carbon dioxide laser ablates tissue by emitting light at a wavelength of 10,600 nm that is absorbed by water in the tissue. Carbon dioxide devices differ from erbium in terms of depth of vaporization, crater base carbonization, and thermal coagulation based on the quantity of energy released within a certain period. These devices have the ability to penetrate approximately 20 to 30 μ m of tissue in shorter than 1 msec, and the ability to

confine the targeted area of thermal damage to a 100- to 150-µm-thick section of tissue.¹⁰ Carbon dioxide lasers function by applying heat to a confined region of the epidermal and or dermal tissue while leaving proximal regions untouched. The affected areas experience tissue healing and collagen stimulation. When put into practice, carbon dioxide lasers act to stimulate the alteration of vaginal mucosa.^{18,69}

Clinical Studies Using Carbon Dioxide Lasers

SmartXide² V²LR/SmartXide², FemiLift, MonaLisa Touch, AcuPulse System, FemTouch Handpiece, and CO2RE Intima were all used for clinical trials summarized in Table 4. Results were evaluated using self- and/or physician-reported questionnaires. (See Table, Supplemental Digital **Content 3**, which documents the efficacy of carbon dioxide device treatments and 1 comparative study in detail. List of scoring and self-reported questionnaires for carbon dioxide studies included, http://links.lww.com/PRS/E213.) There is a total of one level I study, nine level II studies, three level III studies, and 24 level IV studies included in Table 4. Varying degrees of improvement of symptoms was reported in all studies. Limited adverse events and or side effects were reported in 17 of the 37 studies and included: itching, discomfort, mild swelling, moderate burning with urination, moderate soreness, moderate spotting, mild to moderate pain, minor bleeding, mild irritation at the introitus during the procedure, dyspareunia,

Device Name	Type of Study	Adverse Side Effects
FotonaSmooth (XS)	Prospective, cohort, pilot, longitudinal; $n = 45^{48}$; level II	Less than 3% of patients discontinued because of adverse side effects, including discomfort during treatment and a burning
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Prospective, cohort, single-center; $n = 73^{49}$; level II	No major adverse side effects were noted; one patient experienced a slight vulvar edema that resolved within 48 hr; patients reported warmth or prickling sensation during treatment
FotonaSmooth (SP Spectro)	Prospective, single-center, nonrandomized, pilot; $n = 175^{50}$ level II	No major adverse events; patients reported mild discomfort
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Pilot; $n = 31^{51}$; level IV	Slight vulvar edema that disappeared within 48 hr, vaginal discharge, and a sensation of warmth were reported
FotonaSmooth (XS)	Prospective, longitudinal, pilot: $n = 42^{52}$; level IV	Mild pain during treatment
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Prospective, cohort, pilot, comparative; $n = 50^{53}$; level II	A sensation of warmth, mild to moderate pain, and slight vulvar edema was noted in 4% of patients in the laser group; one patient developed transitory pain and spotting after the laser treatment; in the estriol group, 8% of patients experienced spotting, 4% experienced mastodynia, and 12% experienced abdominal pain
FotonaSmooth	Prospective, longitudinal,	No
(AS) FotonaSmooth (IncontiLase)	Prospective; $n = 98^{55}$; level IV	Not specified
FotonaSmooth (IncontiLase)	Retrospective (continuation of above study): $n = 18^{56}$: level IV	Not specified
FotonaSmooth (SP Spectro)	Prospective, pilot; $n = 29^{45}$; level IV	Dysuria and minimal hematuria were observed in four patients; one patient contracted a urinary infection and was treated with antibiotics
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Prospective, pilot; $n = 33^{57}$; level II	No
FotonaSmooth (IncontiLase) FotonaSmooth (IncontiLase)	Prospective, single-center; $n = 65^{58}$; level IV Randomized, controlled; $n = 114^{59}$; level I	Less than 2% of patients discontinued treatment because of adverse events; adverse events not specified Patients reported minimal discomfort and sensation of warmth; increased vaginal discharge lasting up to 3 wk was reported by 49 patients in the laser group and six in the sham group; one patient in the laser group reported increased vaginal dryness after treatment
FotonaSmooth (IncontiLase)	Prospective, single-center; $n = 35^{60}$: level IV	No
FotonaSmooth (SP Spectro)	Randomized, placebo-controlled; $n = 120^{61}$: level I	Minimal treatment discomfort
FotonaSmooth (SP Spectro)	Pilot; $n = 22^{62}$; level IV	One patient reported pelvic pain and two reported dysuria; both resolved within 24 hr of the procedure
FotonaSmooth (XS)	Prospective, longitudinal; $n = 205^{63}$; level II	Less than 3% of patients discontinued treatment because of adverse events; adverse events included discomfort after the first application
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Prospective; $n = 30^{64}$; level IV	Mild but tolerable pain and burning sensation were noted; there were four reported cases of vaginal itching, seven cases of increased vaginal discharge, seven cases of vulva discoloration, and two cases of abnormal vaginal bleeding; the symptoms resolved in several days
Action II)	Prospective, cohort, randomized; $n = 30^{65}$; level II	Very few patients felt mild vaginal ecchymosis with a mild burning sensation that lasted 24–48 hr
MCL 31 Dermablate	Prospective; $n = 37^{66}$; level II	No
MCL 31 Dermablate	Retrospective, single-center, cohort; $n = 71^{48}$; level III	There was one case of a urinary tract infection that was treated with antibiotics; one patient reported lower abdominal discomfort and vaginal spotting for 2 days
MCL 31 Dermablate	Prospective; $n = 16^{67}$; level IV	No
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis)	Retrospective, telephone follow-up to overview results; $n = 103^{68}$; level IV	A mild and transient edema and a tolerable heating sensation occurred in a few cases (exact number not specified by author)

Table 3. Erbium: YAG Clinical Studies

bruising, twinging sensation, numbness, purpura, mild irritation, vaginal discharge, lower pelvic pain, possible yeast infection, mild urinary infection, minimal blood serum secretion, postcoital urinary tract infections, and postmenopausal bleeding. One patient (who failed to disclose her medical history of genital herpes) had a genital herpes breakout following treatment⁶⁹ (Table 5).

Copyright © 2020 American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Table 4. Carbon Dioxide Laser Cli	nical Studies	
Device Name	Type of Study	Adverse Side Effects
SmartXide² V²LR; MonaLisa Touch CO2RE Intima	Retrospective, cohort; $n = 94^{70}$; level III Prospective, investigational; $n = 40^{71}$; level IV	No Two cases of mild itching and discomfort, one case of mild swelling, two cases of moderating burning with urination, one case of moderate soreness and spotting, one case of major itching, and one case of a possible yeast infection, these meas second within of 1 wh follow meas possible yeast
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective, cohort; $n = 184^{72}$; level II Pilot; $n = 30^{73}$; level IV	Not specified Two women reported mild to moderate pain lasting 2–3 days and two reported minor bleeding lasting <1 day; none were discontinued
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 55^{74}$; level IV	because of the occurrence of adverse events Some participants reported a mild irritation at the introitus during the
AcuPulse System, FemTouch Handpiece	Prospective; $n = 28^{75}$, level IV	procedure and/or immediately after that resolved spontaneously Adverse events reported during the study were of moderate severity and were unrelated to the procedure; one case of vaginal bleeding was reported 1 mo after the last treatment; no subjects were discontinued
SmartXide?; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide?; MonaLisa Touch	Retrospective, chart review; $n = 31^{76}$, level IV Prospective, cohort; $n = 45^{77}$, level II	because of an adverse event Not specified Discomfort during probe insertion and vaginal soreness for 1–2 days after
FemiLift SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective, comparative; $n = 33^{78}$; level II Prospective, comparative; $n = 87^{79}$; level II Prospective; $n = 20^{80}$, level IV Case series; $n = 112^{81}$; level IV	ure procedure No Not specified No
SmartXide ^{2,} V ^L LK; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² , MonaLisa Touch CO2RE Intima	Prospective, descriptive, cohort; $n = 50^{\infty}$, level II Retrospective cohort; $n = 122^{33}$, level III Prospective; $n = 21^{34}$, level IV	No Five patients reported urinary tract symptoms, two reported vagina pain/ burning, one reported vaginal itching, and one reported dyspareunia Immediate responses included burning (20%), itching (20%), bruising (4%), swelling (4%), twinging sensation (4%), numbness (4%), and
SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Pilot, comparative; $n = 70^{85}$, level II Prospective, observational; $n = 10^{86}$, level IV Case series; $n = 386^{87}$; level IV	purpura (2%); one patient experienced a mild urinary tract infection that resolved after a weekly course of antibiotics Transient burning sensation that resolved within 5–6 days Not specified Mild discomfort with insertion of the probe, minimal blood-serum secretions for 1–2 days, and mild burning sensations for 1–2 hr after
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Observational, retrospective; $n = 26^{88}$; level IV Retrospective; $n = 82^{59}$; level IV	treatment were reported Discomfort with insertion of the probe Three subjects discontinued treatment after two cycles because of persistent procedure-related discomfort; mild pain with insertion of probe
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective, observational, pilot; $n = 30^{90}$; level IV Pilot; $n = 15^{91}$; level IV Prospective, cohort; $n = 40^{92}$, level II Prospective, observational; $n = 53^{93}$; level IV	No No No Temporary mild irritation to the introitus that lasted up to 2 hr after
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Retrospective, case control; $n = 50^{94}$; level III	Adverse events in both groups related to the treatment were irritation and burning sensation with mild intensity at the introitus; serious adverse
SmartXide² V²LR; MonaLisa Touch FemiLift SmartXide²; MonaLisa Touch	Pilot; $n = 50^{16}$; level II Prospective; $n = 15^{16}$; level IV Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled; $n = 45^{97}$; level I	events were not present in any or une participants of eitner group. Not specified No No No No (<i>Continued</i>)

557e

Copyright © 2020 American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Device Name	Type of Study	Adverse Side Effects
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 102^{60}$, level IV	Three subjects experienced postcoital urinary tract infections and two experienced vaginal discharge/infection; three subjects experienced lower pelvic pain for 2–3 days; one patient (who failed to disclose her medical history of genital herpes) had a genital herpes breakout following treatment; two women presented with postmenopausal bleeding following their third laser treatment (at 4 mo and 6 mo, respectively)
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 77^{98}$, level IV Observational, pilot; $n = 48^{99}$; level IV	Not specified No
SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Two-center, prospective; $n = 30^{100,101}$; level IV	Mild to moderate pain following treatment was reported in two patients; pain resolved within 2 or 3 days; slight bleeding for <1 day occurred in two patients
SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Case control, observational; $n = 92^{102}$; level II	Six cases of vaginal bleeding occurred with the application of PRP, 30% of patients reported mild discomfort such as pain or a burning sensation with the vaginal scanner application
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 53^{105}$; level IV Pilot study; $n = 50^{104}$; level IV	No No
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 386^{87}$; level IV	A burning sensation, discomfort during handpiece movement, vulvar introitus burning/pain. and vulvar pain were reported
SmartXide ² V ² LR; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 161^{105}$; level IV	No
PRP, platelet-rich plasma.		

Table 5. Comparative Study

Device Name	Study	Adverse Side Effects
FotonaSmooth (XS Dynamis), and SmartXide ² ; MonaLisa Touch	Prospective; $n = 31^{106}$; level II	Mild irritation of the introitus was noted during the procedure but resolved spontaneously after therapy (side effects per specific device were not distinguished)

DISCUSSION

The first energy-based vulvovaginal restoration device became available in Europe in 2008. Less than 10 years later, there were an estimated more than 500,000 procedures performed annually.^{107,108} The American Society of Plastic Surgeons estimated a 39 percent increase in plastic surgeons performing vulvovaginal restoration procedures (surgical and nonsurgical) in the United States from 2015 to 2016.¹ In fact, nonsurgical and minimally invasive vulvovaginal restoration has been one of the fastest growing areas in plastic surgery and urogynecology over the past decade.¹² This is thought to be a reflection of decreased stigmatization of female health issues3,4,9,10,12,13 and demonstrated safety and efficacy of energy-based devices.^{5,8,12,20,36,41,109,110} Despite this, there are barriers preventing sound scientific evaluation of these devices, including lack of objective outcome measures, use of unvalidated surveys, paucity of case/ control studies, and inadequate follow-up.

A number of energy-based devices, including radiofrequency and laser (carbon dioxide and erbium:YAG) have reportedly improved external genital appearance, vaginal laxity, and stress incontinence.^{8,10,12,20,37,41,110} Patients and clinicians often view these nonsurgical options as more attractive than invasive surgical treatments, with less downtime, discomfort, and expense.

Laxity of the female vulvovaginal tissue can occur for a variety of reasons, including natural aging, childbirth, genetics, and trauma. These events often lead to generalized symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause, stress urinary incontinence, atrophic vaginitis, orgasmic dysfunction, and dissatisfaction with appearance.¹¹ Currently, 50 percent of women exhibit symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause, and in most cases, this is a chronic condition that worsens when untreated.¹⁰ In addition, stress urinary incontinence has estimated prevalence rates ranging from 4 to 35 percent of all adult women,⁵¹ and an estimated 76 percent of women have symptoms of sexual dysfunction that significantly affect their quality of life.¹¹¹ The prevalence of these

Table 4. Continued

conditions increases significantly with age, with a lifetime likelihood of undergoing a single operation for prolapse or incontinence of 11 percent and a reoperation rate of 30 percent.^{2,3} Given the widespread presence of these symptoms, these are public health issues that deserve attention. Current treatment options are limited and include biofeedback, laser, electrical muscle stimulation and, in certain cases, operative intervention.²⁻⁹ The purpose of this review was to address the claims made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration by examining all relevant literature and identifying areas in which data may be lacking in the area of energy-based devices for vulvovaginal restoration.

Based on the evidence we found through our research, and according to the American Society of Plastic Surgeons Evidence Rating Scale for Therapeutic Studies,¹¹² we found five level I studies, 19 level II studies, four level III studies, and 46 level IV studies that used 15 different devices. The majority of studies indicated overall satisfaction with treatment, and 100 percent of studies reported differing degrees of improvement of symptoms. One large study confirmed that patients felt the out-of-pocket expense was worth the expenditure.⁸³ It is clear that the number of level I, sham-controlled, randomized studies is lacking; however, a large majority of our comprehensive list of studies conducted to date yielded mild to no adverse events and/or side effects as a result of treatment.

Adverse events and/or side effects associated with radiofrequency devices were noted in two of the 13 studies and included vaginal discharge, pain, discomfort, and erythema and edema that resolved within a few hours.²² Adverse events and/ or side effects associated with erbium:YAG devices were noted in 15 of the 23 studies and included discomfort during treatment, a burning sensation, slight vulvar edema, warmth or prickling sensation during treatment, vaginal discharge, mild pain during treatment, dysuria, minimal hematuria, vaginal dryness, vaginal itching, vulva discoloration, pelvic pain, vaginal bleeding, mild vaginal ecchymosis, lower abdominal discomfort, and vaginal spotting. Urinary tract infections occurred in two patients in separate studies; each was treated with antibiotics.^{45,46} Adverse events and/or side effects associated with carbon dioxide devices were noted in 17 of the 37 studies and included itching, discomfort, mild swelling, moderate burning with urination, moderate soreness, moderate spotting, mild to moderate pain, minor bleeding, mild irritation at the introitus during the procedure, dyspareunia, bruising, twinging sensation, numbness, purpura, mild irritation, vaginal discharge, lower pelvic pain, possible yeast infection, mild urinary infection, minimal blood serum secretion, postcoital urinary tract infections, and postmenopausal bleeding. One patient (who failed to disclose her medical history of genital herpes) had a genital herpes breakout following treatment.⁶⁹ It is also important to note that in one study, which monitored vaginal bacteria before and after carbon dioxide laser treatment, there were no symptoms of bacterial vaginosis, aerobic vaginitis, or candidiasis detected in any subjects following treatment. There was, however, an increase of Lactobacillus and normal flora noted in subjects following treatment.¹⁰³ Although there are fewer radiofrequency studies included in this article, there is a significantly smaller correlation between adverse events and/or side effects and radiofrequency treatments compared to erbium:YAG and carbon dioxide devices.

Improvement after radiofrequency device treatment regarding symptoms of vaginal laxity, stress urinary incontinence, vulvovaginal atrophy, atrophic vaginitis, pelvic organ prolapse, genitourinary syndrome of menopause, urinary incontinence, and sexual distress in addition to increased sexual satisfaction, reduction in time to orgasm, ability to orgasm, increased vaginal moisture, improved sexual function, improved vulvar appearance, and/or improved quality of life was reported in 13 of the 13 studies included. Improvement after erbium:YAG device treatment regarding symptoms of vaginal dryness, dyspareunia, genitourinary syndrome of menopause, stress urinary incontinence, mixed urinary incontinence, pain, pelvic organ prolapse, dysuria, urgency, sexual function, vulvovaginal atrophy, vaginal wall relaxation, vaginal health, and sexual satisfaction in addition to vaginal tightening and/or perineometry variable improvement was reported in 23 of the 23 studies included. Improvement after carbon dioxide device treatment regarding symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause, dyspareunia, vaginal dryness, sexual function, vaginal health, itching, menopause, pain, burning, dysuria, vulvovaginal atrophy, painful intercourse, sexual distress, stress urinary incontinence, quality of life, sexual satisfaction, vestibular pain, vulvodynia, sensitivity during sexual intercourse, pelvic organ prolapse, atrophic vaginitis, bladder function, vaginal sensation, vaginal lubrication, and/or urge incontinence was reported in 37 of the 37 studies included.

Histologic results from two radiofrequency device studies reported positive histologic changes

in women suffering from postmenopausal vaginal atrophy and/or increases in collagen, elastin, vascularity, and small nerve fibers.^{39,40} Histologic results from four erbium:YAG treatment studies revealed changes in the tropism of the vaginal mucosa, angiogenesis, congestion and restructuring of the lamina propria, an increase in neoangiogenesis, evidence of tissue regeneration, neocollagenesis, remodeling of vaginal mucosa, an improvement of structural organization of the epithelium, and/or better elasticity of the vaginal wall.53,55,56,65 Histologic findings from four carbon dioxide studies revealed increased collagen and elastin, thicker epithelium, an increased number of cell layers, a better degree of surface maturation, restoration of the epithelial and subepithelial structures, restoration of the vaginal thick squamous stratified epithelium, significant storage of glycogen in the epithelial cells, a high degree of glycogen-rich shedding cells at the epithelial surface, significant increase in the fibrillar component of the extracellular matrix and fibroblast activity bins, and/or neoangiogenesis after laser treatment.^{71,78,95,102}

Further investigation in the form of headto-head comparison of level I, sham-controlled, randomized trials is needed to accurately assess the effects, outcomes, and limitations of treatments using radiofrequency, carbon dioxide, and erbium:YAG devices. We saw that the placebo effect that was manifested in one of the few sham-controlled studies was proven to be an influential factor in the results,⁴² and this should be expanded on in future studies. In addition to conducting additional studies, when extrapolating current data pertaining to the efficacy and safety of energy-based vulvovaginal restoration devices, there are a variety of key limitations to consider.

The lack of standardization in both patient inclusion criteria and measuring posttreatment outcomes poses a significant barrier to data interpretation. Standard baseline values for symptoms treated with radiofrequency and laser devices should be determined to effectively cross-compare evidence. Objective standards pertaining to time to orgasm, vulvovaginal appearance, vaginal laxity, vaginal lubrication, and changes that occur in the vaginal wall are also lacking. Standardization regarding the severity of adverse events is also necessary for future investigation to effectively compare outcomes. The language surrounding adverse event classification in this article is transcribed from individual studies; however, there is a lack of prescribed terminology, which must be taken into account when analyzing results. A majority of past studies were built on self-reported validated and unvalidated questionnaires. Key terms listed on questionnaires such as the unvalidated Vaginal Laxity Questionnaire can have different meanings to different patients, making it difficult to compare results. Studies performed also have very limited long-term follow-up. With the standard follow-up averaging 6 to 12 months, there is a gap in knowledge regarding the lasting effects of radiofrequency and laser treatments.

It is also important to consider that energybased vulvovaginal restoration devices differ greatly from one another. Radiofrequency, carbon dioxide, and erbium:YAG use different methods and have differing settings, heat capacity, and operation standards. As a result, we must exercise caution when categorizing these devices together. Furthermore, we were able to identify only one comparison study between device categories. More of such studies are needed to assess the efficacy and safety of the devices and to also determine which devices are best suited for different indications. A potential source of funding for future studies is device companies themselves; however, there is inherent bias that must be taken into account when financial interests are at play. We must also ensure that in future studies, experienced professionals in core-related specialties are at the forefront of the use of these technologies to ensure quality control.

CONCLUSIONS

After a systematic review of the literature regarding energy-based vulvovaginal restoration, the authors have found that a majority of studies conducted resulted in mild to no adverse side effects. In addition, various degrees of beneficial effects were reported in 100 percent of the studies included. However, to date, there is a large gap in level I evidence in this field. As a result, the authors emphasize the necessity of supplemental data surrounding this subject and suggest additional head-to-head, randomized, sham-controlled studies be conducted to further investigate vulvovaginal restoration devices in an effort to address women's health issues at large. The authors have found that public academic literature does not support the U.S. Food and Drug Administration commissioner's claims, although we must consider the possibility of a private data source to which the U.S. Food and Drug Administration may have potential access.

It is critical that we not create fear for women seeking treatment for vulvovaginal health issues and that we do not discourage patients from participating in additional studies that will advance our knowledge surrounding the safety of these devices. Energy-based vulvovaginal restoration may have the potential to advance female sexual wellness and the manner in which women can seek medical attention for vulvovaginal symptoms. We advocate for further investigation so that, if proven to be safe, treatment can be made accessible to women.

> B. Aviva Preminger, M.D., M.P.H. 969 Park Avenue New York, N.Y. 10028 premingermd@gmail.com Instagram: @premingermd Facebook: B. Aviva Preminger, MD @PremingerPlasticSurgery

REFERENCES

- 1. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. 2016 plastic surgery statistics report. Available at: https://www.plasticsurgery. org/documents/News/Statistics/2016/plastic-surgery-statistics-full-report-2016.pdf. Accessed May 27, 2019.
- 2. Memon HU, Handa VL. Vaginal childbirth and pelvic floor disorders. *Womens Health (Lond.)* 2013;9:265–77; quiz 276–277.
- 3. Nygaard I, Barber MD, Burgio KL, et al.; Pelvic Floor Disorders Network. Prevalence of symptomatic pelvic floor disorders in US women. *JAMA* 2008;300:1311–1316.
- Olsen AL, Smith VJ, Bergstrom JO, Colling JC, Clark AL. Epidemiology of surgically managed pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1997;89:501–506.
- 5. Barrett G, Pendry E, Peacock J, Victor C, Thakar R, Manyonda I. Women's sexual health after childbirth. *BJOG* 2000;107:186–195.
- Griffiths A, Watermeyer S, Sidhu K, Amso NN, Nix B. Female genital tract morbidity and sexual function following vaginal delivery or lower segment caesarean section. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2006;26:645–649.
- Handa VL, Blomquist JL, Knoepp LR, Hoskey KA, McDermott KC, Muñoz A. Pelvic floor disorders 5-10 years after vaginal or cesarean childbirth. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;118:777–784.
- 8. Krychman ML. Vaginal laxity issues, answers and implications for female sexual function. *J Sex Med.* 2016;13:1445–1447.
- 9. Perone N. Pelvic floor disorders 5-10 years after vaginal or cesarean childbirth. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;119:182; author reply 182.
- Tadir Y, Gaspar A, Lev-Sagie A, et al. Light and energy based therapeutics for genitourinary syndrome of menopause: Consensus and controversies. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2017;49:137–159.
- 11. Karcher C, Sadick N. Vaginal rejuvenation using energybased devices. *Int J Womens Dermatol.* 2016;2:85–88.
- 12. Qureshi AA, Tenenbaum MM, Myckatyn TM. Nonsurgical vulvovaginal rejuvenation with radiofrequency and laser devices: A literature review and comprehensive update for aesthetic surgeons. *Aesthet Surg J.* 2018;38:302–311.
- Magon N, Alinsod R. Female cosmetic genital surgery: Delivering what women want. J Obstet Gynaecol India 2017;67:15–19.
- 14. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Statement from FDA commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., on efforts to safeguard women's health from deceptive health claims and significant

risks related to devices marketed for use in medical procedures for "vaginal rejuvenation." Available at: https://www. fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ ucm615130.htm. Accessed September 15, 2018.

- 15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Warns Against Use of Energy-Based Devices to Perform Vaginal 'Rejuvenation' or Vaginal Cosmetic Procedures: FDA Safety Communication. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safetycommunications/fda-warns-against-use-energy-baseddevices-perform-vaginal-rejuvenation-or-vaginal-cosmetic. Accessed September 15, 2018.
- 16. North American Menopause Society. FDA mandating vaginal laser manufacturers present valid data before marketing. Available at: https://www.menopause.org/docs/defaultsource/default-document-library/nams-responds-to-fdamandate-on-vaginal-laser-manufacturers-08-01-2018.pdf. Accessed September 15, 2018.
- 17. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Fractional laser treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy and U.S. Food and Drug Administration clearance position statement. Available at: https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/ policy-and-position-statements/position-statements/2018/ fractional-laser-treatment-of-vulvovaginal-atrophy-andus-food-and-drug-administration-clearance. Accessed September 15, 2018.
- Gold M, Andriessen A, Bader A, et al. Review and clinical experience exploring evidence, clinical efficacy, and safety regarding nonsurgical treatment of feminine rejuvenation. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2018;17:289–297.
- ThermiGen, LLC. The importance of collagen. Available at: http://thermiva.us/how-thermiva-works. Accessed June 11, 2019.
- Magon N, Alinsod R. ThermiVa: The revolutionary technology for vulvovaginal rejuvenation and noninvasive management of female SUI. *J Obstet Gynaecol India* 2016;66:300–302.
- 21. Viveve. The Viveve solutions. Available at: https://us.viveve. com/product-solutions/#VivevesystemFull. Accessed June 11, 2019.
- 22. Fistonić I, Turina ISB, Fistonić N, Marton I. Short time efficacy and safety of focused monopolar radiofrequency device for labial laxity improvement-noninvasive labia tissue tightening: A prospective cohort study. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2016;48:254–259.
- Lalji S, Lozanova P. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of a monopolar nonablative radiofrequency device for the improvement of vulvo-vaginal laxity and urinary incontinence. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2017;16:230–234.
- 24. InMode. FormaV feminine health and wellness. Available at: https://inmodemd.com/technologies/technologies-for-mav/. Accessed June 1, 2019.
- 25. Caruth JC. Evaluation of the safety and efficacy of a novel radiofrequency device for vaginal treatment. *Surg Technol Int.* 2018;32:145–149.
- Kamilos MF, Borrelli CL. New therapeutic option in genitourinary syndrome of menopause: Pilot study using microablative fractional radiofrequency. *Einstein (Sao Paulo)* 2017;15:445–451.
- Fotona. XS. Available at: https://www.fotona.com/en/products/2030/fotonasmooth-xs/. Accessed May 28, 2019.
- Fotona. XS Dynamis. Available at: https://www.fotona.com/ en/products/2029/xs-dynamis/. Accessed July 1, 2019.
- Fotona. SP Dynamis Pro. Available at: https://www.fotona.com/ us/products/2272/sp-dynamis-pro/. Accessed July 3, 2019.
- Lutronic. ACTION II. Available at: http://pdf.medicalexpo. com/pdf/lutronic/action-ii/69154-144788.html. Accessed June 30, 2019.

- Asclepion. MCL31 Dermablate. Available at: https://www. asclepion.com/asclepion_product/mcl31-dermablate/. Accessed June 27, 2019.
- 32. Alma Lasers. The optimal solution for feminine wellness. Available at: https://www.almalasers.com/wp-content/ uploads/2019/06/02_BROCHURE_FEMILIFE_J_WEB.pdf. Accessed June 27, 2019.
- 33. V2LR (vulvo-vaginal laser reshaping): The new era of vaginal rejuvenation advanced CO₂ laser system atrophic vaginitis vaginal laxity stress urinary incontinence postpartum perineal trauma genital functional and cosmetic laser surgery. Available at: https://www.monalisatouch.com/wp-content/ uploads/2016/12/Smartxide2-V2LR-Brochure-ING-Rev-12.2.pdf. Accessed July 1, 2019.
- Candela. CO₂RE Intima. Available at: https://candelamedical.com/na/provider/product/co2re-intima. Accessed May 28, 2019.
- Lumenis. FemTouch. Available at: https://lumenis.com/ aesthetics/products/femtouch/. Accessed June 27, 2019.
- Alinsod RM. Transcutaneous temperature controlled radiofrequency for orgasmic dysfunction. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2016;48:641–645.
- **37.** Sekiguchi Y, Utsugisawa Y, Azekosi Y, et al. Laxity of the vaginal introitus after childbirth: Nonsurgical outpatient procedure for vaginal tissue restoration and improved sexual satisfaction using low-energy radiofrequency thermal therapy. *J Womens Health (Larchmt.)* 2013;22:775–781.
- Alinsod RM. Temperature controlled radiofrequency for vulvovaginal laxity. Available at: https://www.prime-journal. com/temperature-controlled-radiofrequency-for-vulvovaginal-laxity/. Accessed August 15, 2018.
- Leibaschoff G, Izasa PG, Cardona JL, Miklos JR, Moore RD. Transcutaneous temperature controlled radiofrequency (TTCRF) for the treatment of menopausal vaginal/genitourinary symptoms. *Surg Technol Int.* 2016;29:149–159.
- 40. Vanaman Wilson MJ, Bolton J, Jones IT, Wu DC, Calame A, Goldman MP. Histologic and clinical changes in vulvo-vaginal tissue after treatment with a transcutaneous temperature-controlled radiofrequency device. *Dermatol Surg.* 2018;44:705–713.
- 41. Millheiser LS, Pauls RN, Herbst SJ, Chen BH. Radiofrequency treatment of vaginal laxity after vaginal delivery: Nonsurgical vaginal tightening. *J Sex Med.* 2010;7:3088–3095.
- 42. Krychman M, Rowan CG, Allan BB, et al. Effect of singletreatment, surface-cooled radiofrequency therapy on vaginal laxity and female sexual function: The VIVEVE I randomized controlled trial. *J Sex Med.* 2017;14:215–225.
- 43. Vicariotto F, Raichi M. Technological evolution in the radiofrequency treatment of vaginal laxity and menopausal vulvo-vaginal atrophy and other genitourinary symptoms: First experiences with a novel dynamic quadripolar device. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2016;68:225–236.
- 44. Vicariotto F, De Seta F, Faoro V, Raichi M. Dynamic quadripolar radiofrequency treatment of vaginal laxity/menopausal vulvo-vaginal atrophy: 12-month efficacy and safety. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2017;69:342–349.
- 45. Gaspar A, Maestri S, Silva J, et al. Intraurethral erbium:YAG laser for the management of urinary symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause: A pilot study. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2018;50:802–807.
- 46. Mothes AR, Runnebaum M, Runnebaum IB. An innovative dual-phase protocol for pulsed ablative vaginal erbium:YAG laser treatment of urogynecological symptoms. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2018;229:167–171.
- 47. Gambacciani M, Torelli MG, Martella L, et al. Rationale and design for the vaginal erbium laser academy study (Velas):

An international multicenter observational study on genitourinary syndrome of menopause and stress urinary incontinence. *Climacteric* 2015;18(Supp1):43–48.

- Gambacciani M, Levancini M, Cervigni M. Vaginal erbium laser: The second-generation thermotherapy for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Climacteric* 2015;18:757–763.
- 49. Fistonić N, Fistonić I, Lukanovič A, Findri Guštek S, Sorta Bilajac Turina I, Franić D. First assessment of short-term efficacy of Er:YAG laser treatment on stress urinary incontinence in women: Prospective cohort study. *Climacteric* 2015;18(Suppl):37–42.
- Ogrinc UB, Senčar S, Lenasi H. Novel minimally invasive laser treatment of urinary incontinence in women. *Lasers* Surg Med. 2015;47:689–697.
- 51. Fistonić N, Fistonić I, Findri Guštek S, et al. Minimally invasive, non-ablative Er:YAG laser treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women: A pilot study. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2016;31:635–643.
- 52. Pardo JI, Solà VR, Morales AA. Treatment of female stress urinary incontinence with erbium-YAG laser in non-ablative mode. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;204:1–4.
- 53. Gaspar A, Brandi H, Gomez V, Luque D. Efficacy of erbium:YAG laser treatment compared to topical estriol treatment for symptoms of genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2017;49:160–168.
- 54. Gambacciani M, Levancini M. Vaginal erbium laser as second-generation thermotherapy for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: A pilot study in breast cancer survivors. *Menopause* 2017;24:316–319.
- 55. Neimark AI, Yakovleva AY, Lapii GA. Outcomes of ER:YAG LASER treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women (in Russian). *Urologiia* 2018;2:20–25.
- **56.** Lapii GA, Yakovleva AY, Neimark AI, Lushnikova EL. Study of proliferative activity of vaginal epithelium in women with stress urinary incontinence treated by Er:YAG laser. *Bull Exp Biol Med.* 2017;163:280–283.
- 57. Ivan F, Fistonic N, Findri Gustek S, Sorta Bilajac Turina I, Fistonic M. Er:YAG laser treatment for early stages of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) in women: A controlled clinical trial. *Climacteric* 2014;18:37–42.
- Gambacciani M, Levancini M. Short-term effect of vaginal erbium laser on the genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2015;67:97–102.
- 59. Blaganje M, Šćepanović D, Žgur L, Verdenik I, Pajk F, Lukanović A. Non-ablative Er:YAG laser therapy effect on stress urinary incontinence related to quality of life and sexual function: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2018;224:153–158.
- Tien YW, Hsiao SM, Lee CN, Lin HH. Effects of laser procedure for female urodynamic stress incontinence on pad weight, urodynamics, and sexual function. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2017;28:469–476.
- **61.** Lukanovic A, Blaganje M, Scepanovic D, et al. Laser thermotherapy in pelvic floor dysfunction, randomised placebo controlled study. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2014;33:920.
- **62.** Gaspar A, Brandi H. Non-ablative erbium YAG laser for the treatment of type III stress urinary incontinence (intrinsic sphincter deficiency). *Lasers Med Sci.* 2017;32:685–691.
- **63.** Gambacciani M, Levancini M, Russo E, Vacca L, Simoncini T, Cervigni M. Long-term effects of vaginal erbium laser in the treatment of genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Climacteric* 2018;21:148–152.
- 64. Lin YH, Hsieh WC, Huang L, Liang CC. Effect of nonablative laser treatment on overactive bladder symptoms, urinary incontinence and sexual function in women with urodynamic stress incontinence. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;56:815–820.

562e

- **65.** Lee MS. Treatment of vaginal relaxation syndrome with an erbium:YAG laser using 90 degrees and 360 degrees scanning scopes: A pilot study & short-term results. *Laser Ther.* 2014;23:129–138.
- 66. Leshunov EV, Martov AG. Application of laser technologies for treatment of urinary stress incontinence in women of reproductive age (in Russian). *Urologia* 2015;1:36–40.
- 67. Mothes AR, Runnebaum M, Runnebaum IB. Ablative dual-phase erbium:YAG laser treatment of atrophy-related vaginal symptoms in post-menopausal breast cancer survivors omitting hormonal treatment. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2018;144:955–960.
- Gaviria JE, Korosec B, Fernandez J, Montero G. Up to 3-year follow-up of patients with vaginal relaxation syndrome participating in laser vaginal tightening. *J Laser Heal Acad.* 2016;1:6–11.
- 69. Behnia-Willison F, Sarraf S, Miller J, et al. Safety and longterm efficacy of fractional CO2 laser treatment in women suffering from genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;213:39–44.
- Athanasiou S, Pitsouni E, Grigoriadis T, et al. Microablative fractional CO2 laser for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: Up to 12-month results. *Menopause* 2018;26:248–255.
- Krychman ML, Samuels JB, Garcia MA, et al. Treatment to external labia and vaginal canal with CO2 laser for symptoms of vulvovaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Aesthetic Surg J.* 2019;39:83–93.
- Pieralli A, Bianchi C, Longinotti M, et al. Long-term reliability of fractioned CO2 laser as a treatment for vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) symptoms. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2017;296:973–978.
- 73. Sokol ER, Karram MM, Sokol ER, Karram MM. An assessment of the safety and efficacy of a fractional CO2 laser system for the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy. *Menopause* 2016;23:1102–1107.
- 74. Athanasiou S, Pitsouni E, Falagas ME, et al. CO2-laser for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: How many laser sessions? *Maturitas* 2017;104:24–28.
- **75.** Eder SE. Early effect of fractional CO2 laser treatment in post-menopausal women with vaginal atrophy. *Laser Ther.* 2018;27:41–47.
- 76. Gittens P, Mullen G. The effects of fractional microablative CO2 laser therapy on sexual function in postmenopausal women and women with a history of breast cancer treated with endocrine therapy. *J Cosmet Laser Ther.* 2018;21:127–131.
- 77. Singh P, Chong CYL, Han HC. Effects of vulvovaginal laser therapy on postmenopausal vaginal atrophy: A prospective study. *J Gynecol Surg.* 2018;35:gyn.2018.0048:99–104.
- Pagano I, Gieri S, Nocera F, et al. Evaluation of the CO₂ laser therapy on vulvo-vaginal atrophy (VVA) on oncological patients: Preliminary results. *J Cancer Ther.* 2017;8:452–463.
- **79**. Siliquini GP, Tuninetti V, Bounous VE, Bert F, Biglia N. Fractional CO2 laser therapy: A new challenge for vulvovaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2017;20:379–384.
- 80. Becorpi A, Campisciano G, Zanotta N, et al. Fractional CO₂ laser for genitourinary syndrome of menopause in breast cancer survivors: Clinical, immunological, and microbiological aspects. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2018;33:1047–1054.
- Lekskulchai O, Mairaing K, Vinayanuvattikhun N. Fractional CO2 laser for vulvovaginal atrophy. J Med Assoc Thai. 2016;99(Suppl 4):S54–S58.
- Pieralli A, Fallani MG, Becorpi A, et al. Fractional CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) dyspareunia relief in breast cancer survivors. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2016;294:841–846.
- 83. Lang P, Dell JR, Rosen L, Weiss P, Karram M. Fractional CO₉ laser of the vagina for genitourinary syndrome of

menopause: Is the out-of-pocket cost worth the outcome of treatment? *Lasers Surg Med.* 2017;49:882–885.

- Arroyo C. Fractional CO₂ laser treatment for vulvovaginal atrophy symptoms and vaginal rejuvenation in perimenopausal women. *Int J Womens Health* 2017;9:591–595.
- 85. Murina F, Karram M, Salvatore S, Felice R. Fractional CO₂ laser treatment of the vestibule for patients with vestibulodynia and genitourinary syndrome of menopause: A pilot study. J Sex Med. 2016;13:1915–1917.
- 86. González Isaza P, Ruiz Rosas AI, Vélez Rizo DL. Fractional CO₂ laser treatment: A novel approach for stress urinary incontinence management in post-menopausal women. Urol Colomb. 2017;26:8–11.
- 87. Filippini M, Del Duca E, Negosanti F, et al. Fractional CO₂ laser: From skin rejuvenation to vulvo-vaginal reshaping. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2017;35:171–175.
- 88. Pagano T, De Rosa P, Vallone R, et al. Fractional microablative CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy in women treated with chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy for breast cancer: A retrospective study. *Menopause* 2016;23:1108–1113.
- 89. Pagano T, De Rosa P, Vallone R, et al. Fractional microablative CO₂ laser in breast cancer survivors affected by iatrogenic vulvovaginal atrophy after failure of nonestrogenic local treatments: A retrospective study. *Menopause* 2018;25: 657–662.
- 90. Perino A, Cucinella G, Gugliotta G, et al. Is vaginal fractional CO₂ laser treatment effective in improving overactive bladder symptoms in post-menopausal patients? Preliminary results. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2016;20:2491–2497.
- **91.** Salvatore S, Maggiore ULR, Origoni M, et al. Microablative fractional CO_2 laser improves dyspareunia related to vulvovaginal atrophy: A pilot study. *J Endometr Pelvic Pain Disord.* 2014;6:150–156.
- 92. Maggiore ULR, Parma M, Candiani M, Salvatore S. Microablative fractional CO₂ laser for vulvovaginal atrophy in women with a history of breast cancer. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2015;22(Suppl):S100.
- 93. Pitsouni E, Grigoriadis T, Tsiveleka A, Zacharakis D, Salvatore S, Athanasiou S. Microablative fractional CO2laser therapy and the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: An observational study. *Maturitas* 2016;94:131–136.
- 94. Pitsouni E, Grigoriadis T, Falagas M, Tsiveleka A, Salvatore S, Athanasiou S. Microablative fractional CO₂ laser for the genitourinary syndrome of menopause: Power of 30 or 40 W? *Lasers Med Sci.* 2017;32:1865–1872.
- 95. Zerbinati N, Serati M, Origoni M, et al. Microscopic and ultrastructural modifications of postmenopausal atrophic vaginal mucosa after fractional carbon dioxide laser treatment. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2015;30:429–436.
- 96. Schachar JS, Devakumar H, Martin L, Hurtado EA, Davila G. Prospective non-comparative study to assess the effectiveness of a pixel CO₂ laser system in the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy: Interim analysis. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2017;28:S256–S257.
- 97. Cruz VL, Steiner ML, Pompei LM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial for evaluating the efficacy of fractional CO₂ laser compared with topical estriol in the treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmeno-pausal women. *Menopause* 2018;25:21–28.
- 98. Salvatore S, Nappi RE, Parma M, et al. Sexual function after fractional microablative CO₂ laser in women with vulvovaginal atrophy. *Climacteric* 2015;18:219–225.
- **99.** Perino A, Calligaro A, Forlani F, et al. Vulvo-vaginal atrophy: A new treatment modality using thermo-ablative fractional CO₉ laser. *Maturitas* 2015;80:296–301.

- 100. Sokol ER, Karram M. Use of a novel fractional CO_2 laser for the treatment of genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Menopause* 2015;22:810–814.
- 101. Sokol ER, Karram MM. Use of a novel fractional CO_2 laser for the treatment of genitourinary syndrome of menopause: 1-year outcomes. *Menopause* 2017;24:810–814.
- 102. Gaspar A, Addamo G, Brandi H. Vaginal fractional CO₂ laser: A minimally invasive option for vaginal rejuvenation. *Am J Cosmet Surg.* 2011;28:156–162.
- 103. Athanasiou S, Pitsouni E, Antonopoulou S, et al. The effect of microablative fractional CO_2 laser on vaginal flora of postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2016;19:512–518.
- 104. Salvatore S, Nappi RE, Zerbinati N, et al. A 12-week treatment with fractional CO2 laser for vulvovaginal atrophy: A pilot study. *Climacteric* 2014;17:363–369.
- 105. González Isaza P, Jaguszewska K, Cardona JL, Lukaszuk M. Long-term effect of thermoablative fractional CO₂ laser treatment as a novel approach to urinary incontinence management in women with genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2018;29:211–215.
- **106.** Lin HY, Tsai HW, Tsui KH, et al. The short-term outcome of laser in the management of female pelvic floor disorders:

Focus on stress urine incontinence and sexual dysfunction. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;57:825–829.

- 107. Cosmetic Surgery National Data Bank statistics. Aesthetic Surg J. 2017;32(Suppl):1–29.
- Moretti M. Feminine rejuvenation market growth surges via energy-based devices. Available at: https://www.aestheticchannel.com/vaginal-rejuvenation/feminine-rejuvenation-marketgrowth-surges-energy-based-devices. Accessed May 27, 2019.
- 109. Nappi RE, Palacios S, Panay N, Particco M, Krychman ML. Vulvar and vaginal atrophy in four European countries: Evidence from the European REVIVE Survey. *Climacteric* 2016;19:188–197.
- 110. Vos JA, Livengood RH, Jessop M, Coad JE. Non-ablative hyperthermic mesenchymal regeneration: A proposed mechanism of action based on the Vivev model. *Energy-Based Treatment Tissue Assessment*. 2011;7901:7901–7908.
- 111. Berman JR, Berman LA, Werbin TJ, Goldstein I. Female sexual dysfunction: Anatomy, physiology, evaluation and treatment options. *Curr Opin Urol.* 1999;9:563–568.
- 112. Sullivan D, Chung KC, Eaves FF III, Rohrich RJ. The level of evidence pyramid: Indicating levels of evidence in *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* articles. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2011;128:311–314.